

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS**

JOSEPH ODOM Plaintiff,)	
)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-14076
)	
ALLIANT CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC)	
Defendant,)	
)	

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action for actual and statutory damages brought by plaintiff Joseph Odom, an individual consumer, against Defendant's violations of the law, including, but not limited to, violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* (hereinafter "FDCPA") which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices; by this Defendant and its agents in their illegal efforts to try to collect an alleged debt from Plaintiff.

II. JURISDICTION

2. Jurisdiction of this court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before, “[A]ny appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy,” and also under 28 U.S.C. § 1337.

3. Venue in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) in that a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred here. Since Defendant transacts business here, personal jurisdiction is established.

III. PARTIES

4. Plaintiff, Joseph Odom is a consumer, as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3), as well as a natural person allegedly obligated to pay any debt, residing in Suffolk County, in the state of Massachusetts.

5. Defendant, Alliant Capital Management, LLC is a collection agency and foreign corporation engaged in the business of collecting debt in this state with its principal place of business located in Erie County, in the state of New York.

6. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant uses instrumentalities of interstate commerce or the mails in any business, the principal purpose of which being the collection of debts. Defendant is engaged in the collection of

debts from consumers using the mail, electronic mail, facsimile, and telephone, and regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or alleged to be owed or due another, being a “debt collector” as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

7. At all relevant times, Defendant acted through its duly authorized agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

8. Sometime before November 4, 2014, Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation that was primarily for personal, family, or household purposes and is therefore a ‘debt’, as that term is defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

9. Upon information and belief, at some point the alleged debt was consigned, placed or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection from Plaintiff.

10. The debt that Defendant is attempting to collect on is an alleged obligation of a consumer to pay money arising out of a transaction in which the money, property, insurance or services which are the subject of the transaction are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes, whether or not such obligation has been reduced to judgment, as, again, defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

11. Defendant then, within one year prior to the filing of this complaint, began contacting Plaintiff, prior to November 4, 2014; seeking and demanding payment for an alleged consumer debt owed under an account number.

12. Within one year prior to the filing of this complaint, on October 14, 2014, Defendant called Plaintiff 4 times in 3 minutes, knowing this would harass and/or annoy Plaintiff. On both October 16, 2014 and October 17, 2014, Defendant called Plaintiff in excess of 10 times, knowing this would harass and/or annoy a consumer such as Plaintiff.

Defendant also has left Plaintiff two voicemail communications in October of 2014 in which it did not give the requisite statements under the FDCPA stating that it was a debt collector, attempting to collect on an alleged debt, and that any information obtained would be used for that purpose.. Defendant also is not licensed to collect on debts in the state of Massachusetts, yet it still unfairly and unconscionably attempted to collect an alleged debt from Plaintiff. These calls by Defendant were “communications”, as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2), and the conduct by Defendant was in violation of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. §§1692c(a)(1), §1692d, and §1692f.

SUMMARY

13. All of the above-described collection communications made to Plaintiff by Defendant and other collection employees employed by Defendant Alliant Capital Management, LLC were made in violation of numerous and multiple provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to all of the provisions of the FDCPA cited herein.

14. The above-detailed conduct by this Defendant of harassing Plaintiff in an effort to collect this debt was a violation of numerous and multiple provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to all of the above mentioned provisions of the FDCPA.

15. As a result of the acts alleged above, Defendant caused Plaintiff to become very upset because of the aggressive manner in which this debt was collected by this Defendant.

16. Plaintiff suffered actual damages as a result of these illegal collection communications by this Defendant in the form of emotional distress, frustration, upset, amongst other negative emotions.

17. Defendant's illegal abusive collection communications as more fully described above were the direct and proximate cause of emotional distress on the part of Plaintiff.

RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR LIABILITY

18. The acts or omissions of this Defendant, and the other debt collectors employed as agents by Defendant Alliant Capital Management, LLC who communicated with Plaintiff as more further described herein, were committed within the time and space limits of their agency relationship with their principal, Defendant Alliant Capital Management, LLC.

19. The acts and omissions by this Defendant and these other debt collectors were incidental to, or of the same general nature as, the responsibilities these agents were authorized to perform by Defendant Alliant Capital Management, LLC in collecting consumer debts.

20. By committing these acts and omissions against Plaintiff, this Defendant and these other debt collectors were motivated to benefit their principal, Defendant Alliant Capital Management, LLC.

21. Defendant Alliant Capital Management, LLC is therefore liable to Plaintiff through the Doctrine of Respondeat Superior for the intentional and negligent acts, errors, and omissions done in violation of state and federal law by its collection employees, including but not limited to violations of the FDCPA, in their attempts to collect this debt by communicating with Plaintiff.

V. CAUSES OF ACTION

CLAIM FOR RELIEF
AND SUPPORTING FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

22. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

23. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant and its agents constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA including, but not limited to, each and every one of

the provisions of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., cited above, with respect to Plaintiff.

24. Defendant's acts as described above were done intentionally with the purpose of coercing Plaintiff to pay the alleged debt.

25. As a result of the foregoing violations of the FDCPA, Defendant is liable to the Plaintiff for actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1), statutory damages in an amount up to \$1000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A), and reasonable costs and attorney fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3), from Defendant herein.

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered against Defendant for the following:

A. Actual damages from Defendant pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) for the emotional distress suffered as a result of the intentional and/or negligent FDCPA violations; in respective amounts to be determined at trial and for Plaintiff.

B. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 from Defendant pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A).

C. Punitive damages.

D. Costs and reasonable attorney fees from Defendant and for Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3).

E. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: November 4, 2014

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By: /s/ Kevin Crick

Kevin Crick, Esq.

BBO: 680950

Consumer Rights Law Firm, PLLC

133 Main St., Second Floor

North Andover, Massachusetts 01845

Phone: (978) 420-4747

Fax: (978) 409-1486

kevinc@consumerlawfirmcenter.com

Attorney for Plaintiff Joseph Odom